

## Exhibit S

1

DAUVBANC Conference  
1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

2 -----x

2  
3 BANK OF MONTREAL,

3  
4 Plaintiff,

4  
5 v.

09 CV 7557 (GBD) (JLC)

5  
6 OPTIONABLE, INC., ET AL,

6  
7 Defendants.

7  
8 -----x

8  
9 CMEG NYMEX INC.,

9  
10 Plaintiff,

10  
11 v.

09 CV 3677 (GBD) (JLC)

11  
12 OPTIONABLE, INC., ET AL,

12  
13 Defendants.

13  
14 -----x

14  
15 New York, N.Y.  
15 October 30, 2013  
16 10:08 a.m.

16  
17 Before:

17  
18 HON. JAMES L. COTT,

18  
19 Magistrate Judge

19  
20 APPEARANCES

20  
21 FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER & ADELMAN  
21 Attorneys for Plaintiff Bank of Montreal  
22 BY: ROBERT J. LACK  
22 TIMOTHY M. HAGGERTY

23  
24 MCCARTER & ENGLISH  
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff CMEG NYMEX INC.  
24 BY: EDWARD T. MCDERMOTT  
25 ANTHONY ZACCARIA

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference  
APPEARANCES (continued)

MCCORMICK & O'BRIEN  
Attorneys for Defendants Optionable, Inc.  
and Ridgecrest Capital, Inc.  
BY: FRANCIS M. CURRAN

NORTON & ASSOCIATES  
Attorneys for Defendant Optionable, Inc.  
BY: MICHAEL E. NORTON

LAW OFFICE OF SOLOMON N. KLEIN  
Attorneys for Defendant Mark A. Nordlicht  
BY: SOLOMON N. KLEIN  
AARON RUBIN

LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE R. GELBER  
Attorneys for Defendant Kevin P. Cassidy  
BY: LAWRENCE R. GELBER

WHITE & CASE  
Attorneys for Defendant Joseph D. Saab  
BY: DANIELLE M. AUDETTE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 (In open court)

2 THE COURT: I'll just ask everyone, for the court  
3 reporter's benefit, when you speak, please identify yourself.

4 For the record, I understand this morning we have two  
5 disputes this morning that I'm aware of. And then I'll hear  
6 from the parties if there are any others.

7 The first is the dispute as to the deposition of  
8 Mr. Downe; and the second has to do with a number of  
9 depositions of BMO witnesses and the scheduling of the  
10 deposition of those witnesses.

11 My reading of the case law makes it somewhat unclear  
12 as to who technically has had the burden on an issue of this  
13 kind, whether it's the party seeking to compel the deposition  
14 or whether it's the party seeking to prevent the deposition  
15 from taking place. My sense of that is that I think I should  
16 hear from BMO first as to why they believe Mr. Downe's  
17 deposition should not go forward, and then I'll hear from  
18 counsel who want to proceed with it.

19 So who wants to be heard on behalf of BMO?

20 MR. LACK: Robert Lack.

21 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Lack. Go right ahead.

22 MR. LACK: Would you like me to speak from the podium  
23 or from here?

24 THE COURT: Wherever you're comfortable. Maybe the  
25 podium would be better so that counsel can hear you better.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 MR. LACK: Your Honor, the defendants have not taken a  
2 single deposition in this case. And they want --

3 THE COURT: Whose fault is that?

4 MR. LACK: That's the fault of the defendants, your  
5 Honor.

6 THE COURT: Is it? Their letters seem to suggest  
7 you're preventing them from getting depositions scheduled.

8 MR. LACK: No, that's not true, your Honor. In fact,  
9 we already have two depositions scheduled for November.

10 THE COURT: Which depositions are scheduled so far?

11 MR. LACK: November 15th, Marietta Bottero; and  
12 November 21st, Thomas Merrall. Those are both -- they've asked  
13 for those dates; we gave them those dates. Those are  
14 confirmed.

15 THE COURT: Terrific. Glad to hear you're on track.

16 MR. LACK: Yes.

17 And we also have dates that we can propose to the  
18 defendants for Jeff Poulsen, Livio Bencich and Eric Tripp in  
19 late November and in December. And so we are prepared to  
20 proceed with five depositions of BMO witnesses who actually  
21 have information about the subject matter of the case.

22 THE COURT: You said Poulsen, Tripp, and who was the  
23 fifth person you identified?

24 MR. LACK: Bencich.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Can't all five of them be

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 accomplished in November?

2 MR. LACK: We don't think so, because we've checked  
3 with the witness.

4 THE COURT: When you say December, we're talking about  
5 through the first week of December?

6 MR. LACK: I'll give the actual dates.

7 THE COURT: You don't need to do that. I don't need  
8 to preside over a meet-and-confer.

9 MR. LACK: The latest date is December 16th.

10 THE COURT: December 16th?

11 MR. LACK: 16th.

12 THE COURT: Why so late? It's only October 30th now.

13 MR. LACK: Because we have depositions -- we have  
14 three depositions which we are proposing for November, and one  
15 in early December, and one in mid-December.

16 THE COURT: Do we anticipate these depositions are  
17 going to take more than a day?

18 MR. LACK: No, I don't.

19 THE COURT: Then why can't they all take place in  
20 November, because of the schedules of the individuals?

21 MR. LACK: Because of the schedules of the  
22 individuals. Before proposing these dates, we checked with the  
23 individuals and we ascertained their availability.

24 THE COURT: All right.

25 MR. LACK: And so we're perfectly willing to present  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 for deposition BMO witnesses; but the case law of this Circuit  
2 is very clear that it's not permissible to start off with the  
3 CEO of the party as the first deponent. In order to do that --  
4 leaving aside the question of burden of proof, because your  
5 Honor is correct, the case law is unclear who bears the burden  
6 of proof. But assuming that Bank of Montreal bears the burden  
7 of proof, we have satisfied that burden; we have presented a  
8 declaration from Mr. Downe, and it is very clear here that the  
9 CEO, Mr. Downe, does not have unique personal knowledge.

10 THE COURT: It's not very clear. Be careful when you  
11 say that. I always tell my law clerks, when you say something  
12 that's clear, it's usually not clear. It's not really clear.

13 I mean the operative paragraph of the declaration,  
14 Paragraph 9, says the following: "The information I have  
15 concerning the subject matter of the litigation, including the  
16 information that I obtained while president and CEO, and in my  
17 earlier roles within BMO, was obtained from others, including  
18 lawyers, lower-level BMO employees, and individuals outside the  
19 bank."

20 Now, we can unpack that sentence for a while, but I'm  
21 not sure the way that's written suggests to me that his  
22 knowledge is entirely limited to what other people told him.  
23 That's, I assume, the intent of what is to be conveyed there.  
24 But, for example, the other others, lower-level BMO employees  
25 and individuals outside the bank are not even identified. Are

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 any of those people Bottero, Merrall, Poulsen, Tripp, or  
2 Bencich?

3 MR. LACK: Yes. Actually, leaving aside Ms. Bottero,  
4 who's at human resources and is being deposed based on the  
5 subject matter jurisdiction dispute, Mr. Merrall, Mr. Poulsen,  
6 Mr. Bencich, and Mr. Tripp are all people who provided  
7 information, either directly or indirectly, to Mr. Downe.

8 THE COURT: Are there other individuals that would  
9 fall into that category? Because it seems to me, in order for  
10 you to meet your burden, that you have to identify to the  
11 defendants so that they can choose to depose those other  
12 individuals if you want the Court to defer any decision with  
13 respect to the deposition of Mr. Downe, don't you think?

14 MR. LACK: Well, I don't think we have to identify  
15 them; but the defendants have to be aware of them. They  
16 certainly are aware of them, because they've noticed the  
17 depositions. In fact, they've noticed the depositions, if you  
18 leave out Mr. Downe, of 17 BMO witnesses, including  
19 Ms. Bottero.

20 THE COURT: Let me be precise with you about this.  
21 You would not want Mr. Downe's deposition to take  
22 place, and that's because you said he doesn't have unique  
23 knowledge about the underlying facts of the case; correct?

24 MR. LACK: That's the first part of it.

25 The second part of it is the burden it would place on

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300



DAUVBANC

Conference

1 the bank to have its CEO to have to devote time to preparing  
2 for and giving testimony, when there are other people who have  
3 greater information and whose depositions will be less  
4 disruptive to the bank's operations.

5 THE COURT: The first factor is far more important  
6 than the second factor, it seems to me, because the fact that  
7 someone is busy, very busy, isn't, standing alone, really  
8 adequate to preclude their deposition. It has to be that  
9 they're really not going to offer more than these other  
10 witnesses are going to offer; that if you were to depose  
11 Mr. Downe, it would be redundant, duplicative; there would be  
12 no further information obtained from him that the defendants  
13 wouldn't have already obtained from others; isn't that really  
14 right?

15 MR. LACK: I think both circumstances are important,  
16 your Honor. A CEO does have special consideration under the  
17 case law because of the uniquely disruptive effect taking the  
18 deposition of a CEO has. So that whereas if you have several  
19 lower-level people who arguably have overlapping information,  
20 this question would not arise as to whether you have to  
21 prioritize one over the other.

22 But the case law is clear that with respect to the  
23 CEO, because of the burden -- it doesn't say the CEO never gets  
24 deposed, but it's very clear the CEO should never be the first  
25 person to be deposed, unless you could show that he has

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 information no one else has. And that clearly can't be shown  
2 here.

3 THE COURT: Isn't the right result here to defer the  
4 decision as to whether Mr. Downe should be deposed until these  
5 other individuals are deposed?

6 MR. LACK: Yes, that is the correct decision, your  
7 Honor. We're not saying that under no circumstances can a  
8 showing ever be made that Mr. Downe's deposition should be  
9 taken. What we're saying is that today, in the absence of any  
10 depositions being taken, and particularly in the absence of  
11 these five depositions having been taken, it's not appropriate  
12 to require Mr. Downe to be the first.

13 THE COURT: I believe I read in one of the many  
14 letters I received on this subject that in your Rule 26  
15 disclosures, you identified close to 100 people who had  
16 knowledge of the information that's set forth in the complaint;  
17 is that right?

18 MR. LACK: That's correct.

19 THE COURT: All right.

20 So how, if I'm a defense lawyer, and I want to abide  
21 by your position on some level that you obviously articulated a  
22 meet-and-confer and they didn't abide by it, because here we  
23 all are today, but what is going to reassure me that I'm going  
24 to have the full panoply of knowledgeable witnesses available  
25 to me if you don't identify them with particularity? You said

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 you have no obligation to identify those who are identified in  
2 Paragraph 9. Why is that? Why shouldn't you identify to them  
3 who -- make a list of who Mr. Downe essentially got his  
4 information from, as he put it, information that I obtained  
5 while president and CEO was obtained from lower-level BMO  
6 employees and individuals outside the bank. Shouldn't you tell  
7 them who that is so they can then decide which of those -- if  
8 there are 30 people, which of those ten they want to depose,  
9 and then talk to you about, well, they want to depose five  
10 more, and you're going to say, okay, we'll give you five more,  
11 even though the rule only says you get ten in a  
12 meet-and-confer, and then you don't come back and bother me  
13 with that; or, alternatively, know you only get ten, in which  
14 case they come back to me and say, Judge, we should get  
15 Mr. Downe, unless they tell us, you know, who these people are  
16 and we get 15 of them, if we need them.

17 MR. LACK: Your Honor, we've produced, as you know,  
18 millions of pages of documents in discovery. And we've  
19 produced emails that went to Mr. Downe from other people; we  
20 produced minutes of meetings where Mr. Downe attended, where  
21 other people reported on the trading losses and so on.

22 So, yes, I think that I would agree in concept that  
23 defendants are entitled to know who the sources of information  
24 from Mr. Downe are. But we've given that information, and  
25 they've noticed the depositions of these people already. So,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 yes, I could go back and give them a list; probably could not  
2 be exhaustive, given Mr. Downe probably gets reports from many,  
3 many people in the organization. But they could run a search  
4 for all emails between Mr. Downe and someone else in Bank of  
5 Montreal where they are sending him an email reporting on  
6 circumstances underlying the facts of this case and get that  
7 information. We could do the same thing.

8 So it's not that they are in the dark. The fact is  
9 they have identified a number of Bank of Montreal witnesses who  
10 are more knowledgeable about the facts than Mr. Downe; and  
11 they've just decided they want to take Mr. Downe's deposition  
12 first.

13 THE COURT: In other like circumstances, when I've  
14 seen declarations like the one that was submitted here, the  
15 people who provide the information to the declarant or the  
16 affiant are often identified. That's why I'm pursuing this  
17 with you, because the way it's written now, it's very  
18 generalized.

19 And it's fine to say, Well, we identified almost 100  
20 people, and we've given them millions of documents; but if you  
21 were on the receiving end of that, you would feel a little bit  
22 at sea, especially if the other side was going to have to defer  
23 the deposition of Mr. Downe. Now, they're going to argue why  
24 they shouldn't have to, but if that deposition is deferred, one  
25 of the reasons it should be deferred is so that they can make a

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 full record as to why he uniquely has information that these  
2 other people, who he says he got his information from, didn't  
3 provide -- didn't span the whole waterfront, if you will, with  
4 respect to the facts of this case.

5 MR. LACK: We could. The reason why Paragraph 9 does  
6 not list people is because it would be a very large number of  
7 names. And we believe that the defendants already know the  
8 names of those people, maybe not every single one of them, but  
9 a large number of them. We identified those people in our  
10 initial disclosures. We could, if your Honor wanted, go back  
11 and supplement and give them a list of names; but they've done  
12 a pretty good job, I think, with the depositions that they've  
13 noticed and we've agreed to provide dates for already in terms  
14 of identifying people who were the sources of information for  
15 Mr. Downe, including Mr. Merrall, and Mr. Tripp, Mr. Bencich,  
16 and Mr. Poulsen.

17 So we think that those depositions, once they take  
18 those depositions, and possibly other depositions, that would  
19 be a time at the earliest to consider whether Mr. Downe has  
20 anything additional to add.

21 THE COURT: What is your response to the fact that  
22 during the time period in question here, Mr. Downe was not, in  
23 fact, the CEO, but was the COO and played, I think, another  
24 role, in fact, as well; and so the apex witness analysis that  
25 you have undertaken here really isn't as apt as it might be in

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 other circumstances.

2 MR. LACK: I actually disagree with that, your Honor.  
3 The apex analysis, the fact that he's CEO, goes to the position  
4 he holds at the time the deposition is taken; because the  
5 burden is based on the fact that at the time the deposition is  
6 going to be taken, he is the CEO, not whether he was CEO during  
7 the time of the events.

8 THE COURT: That goes to sort of Factor 2, if you  
9 will, not Factor 1 that we discussed earlier.

10 MR. LACK: That's right. His current status as CEO  
11 goes to Factor 2.

12 We would take the position that as to Factor 1, that  
13 the fact that he was chief operating officer of Bank of  
14 Montreal before he was CEO doesn't mean that he has unique  
15 personal knowledge as to these events either, especially  
16 compared to the other people whose depositions are pending. So  
17 we don't think that they've satisfied the unique personal  
18 knowledge with respect to Factor 1. And Factor 2 what counts  
19 now is that he is the CEO currently.

20 THE COURT: How many depositions has Bank of Montreal  
21 taken in this litigation?

22 MR. LACK: Mr. Haggerty may know the -- I think maybe  
23 four or five. Mr. Haggerty would know the number.

24 MR. HAGGERTY: Five, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: And how many are you planning to take at  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 the moment?

2 MR. LACK: We're probably planning to take another  
3 five, at most.

4 THE COURT: Your intention at the moment is to stay  
5 within the presumptive ten deposition limit that the rules  
6 contemplate?

7 MR. LACK: Yes, that's our intention. I mean there  
8 are many witnesses, potential witnesses, in this case. And we  
9 identified, as your Honor noted, close to 100 people who have  
10 knowledge. But the fact that there are close to 100 people who  
11 have knowledge doesn't mean that 100 depositions have to be  
12 taken in the case.

13 And as to the number, I think it's very difficult for  
14 the defendants to argue that they should get carte blanche to  
15 exceed the ten limit before they've taken even a single  
16 deposition.

17 Again, our position is not under no circumstances  
18 would it ever be possible to seek more than ten depositions  
19 being taken by defendants in this case. It's that now is not  
20 the time to give them that authorization when they haven't even  
21 taken a single deposition.

22 THE COURT: So, in a nutshell, your position is not  
23 necessarily denial, but deferral.

24 MR. LACK: That's correct, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: All right.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 Let me hear from whoever wants to be heard,  
2 Mr. Cassidy's attorney or Optionable's attorney or whoever  
3 wants to be heard.

4 MR. GELBER: I'm Lawrence Gelber, and I represent  
5 Kevin Cassidy. And I will respond.

6 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Gelber.

7 MR. GELBER: My difficulty staying focused, only  
8 because Mr. Downe's role is so vast and so broad over such a  
9 long period of time at the bank, I can actually think of no  
10 other human being at the Bank of Montreal who has more granular  
11 knowledge about all of the events that took place starting from  
12 back in early 2000, going up through 2007 when the events took  
13 place.

14 THE COURT: Why would his knowledge be so granular in  
15 the positions that he held?

16 MR. GELBER: Because he functioned in various  
17 capacities that interacted directly with the commodities  
18 derivatives group. He was there when the Price Waterhouse  
19 report came out, delineating all the defects in the systems  
20 that Bank of Montreal had.

21 Mr. Lack has contended, Well, that's before the time  
22 period of the complaint, which only goes from 2003 to 2007. My  
23 reaction to that was the complaint raises the crimes of my  
24 client from 1992, when my client was a fall-down alcoholic. My  
25 client has been sober now for 21 years. That had nothing to do

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300



DAUVBANC Conference

1 with it, but it didn't stop them from bringing up old matters  
2 because they felt there was some underlying connection.

3 The nexus between the systemic failures at the Bank of  
4 Montreal while Bill Downe had the direct oversight of many of  
5 these operations as part of the risk management committee, as  
6 part of -- as being a deputy chair of BMO Financial are  
7 unmatched by anybody else.

8 The burden argument is, quite frankly, bizarre.

9 THE COURT: I don't understand why you think it's  
10 bizarre. He's the CEO of a big bank. By definition,  
11 generically, I would expect anyone in his position and any  
12 lawyer representing him to argue exactly as Mr. Lack did; and  
13 if you represented Mr. Downe, you'd make the same arguments.

14 MR. GELBER: Here's the problem with the argument.  
15 Call me crazy, but I would bet that if Mr. Downe had the  
16 ability to go to London to sit Downe across a table for a  
17 negotiation for a \$25 million deal that would benefit the Bank  
18 of Montreal, he would be able to have those two or three or  
19 four days required for that \$25 million deal.

20 He's now heading an institution that has brought a  
21 multi-centimillion dollar claim, \$500 million claim, against  
22 the people sitting at this table, and he can't afford two days  
23 away from the bank? There's no burden. He's got a vast  
24 organization of subordinates that can run the bank. He's got a  
25 cell phone, he's in constant communication. I'm not required

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 to abandon common sense and human experience. A modern  
2 executive runs the business from wherever they are. The fact  
3 that he has to come to New York and meet with his lawyers  
4 doesn't keep him from his cell phone, doesn't keep him from his  
5 computer. Am I supposed to believe he doesn't go on vacation?

6 THE COURT: Let me ask you a question, Mr. Gelber.

7 MR. GELBER: Yes.

8 THE COURT: Why doesn't it make sense to stage your  
9 depositions in a way where these lower-level employees are  
10 deposed first, and then the decision as to whether Mr. Downe  
11 should go forward should be made? Why should I make it on what  
12 is really an empty record at the moment, with a lot of  
13 speculation, rather than harder, more concrete, information  
14 generated from sworn testimony, rather than FBI agent 302  
15 hearsay type stuff?

16 MR. GELBER: Simply because of principles of judicial  
17 economy, which really factor in here. You have a human being  
18 who has more knowledge about anything that went on in all of  
19 these operations than anybody else at the bank. He's stepping  
20 away from things.

21 Here, just for example. In my letter of October 21, I  
22 mention the fact that he was -- I say: Downe was the deputy  
23 chair and, later, the hands-on chief operating officer of BMO  
24 Financial Group. They nowhere step away from the fact that he  
25 was the hands-on COO when he became COO; but he was the deputy

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 chair before that. That's important, and I'll tell you why.

2 In his affidavit -- well, it wasn't really an  
3 affidavit, it was a declaration, but he acknowledges penalties  
4 of perjury apply, he describes himself only as the president of  
5 a securities brokerage that's operated by the bank, and then in  
6 2006 becoming the COO.

7 Why is he not saying in a sworn affidavit that he was  
8 the deputy chair, which is what puts him square in the middle  
9 of what's going on.

10 In a release, on September 22nd, 2005 -- this is on  
11 BMO's website -- and I have copies if people want to see it,  
12 there's a speech delivered by William Downe, deputy chair of  
13 BMO Financial Group and Rob Pierce. And in that speech,  
14 Mr. Downe talks about risk, he talks about risk management, and  
15 then he talks about a disciplined approach. And then he passes  
16 the rest of the speech over to somebody else.

17 Now, again, common human experience, you speak about  
18 what you know about. Every lawyer here, when you have a law  
19 firm, you assign your bankruptcy person to your bankruptcy  
20 matters because they know about it. Here's a public speech  
21 about where the bank is going, how the bank is managing and  
22 assessing risk, and the person they put out to talk about it is  
23 Bill Downe. Was he just a strawman or did he have real  
24 knowledge?

25 THE COURT: How do you know what he spoke about won't  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 be something that will be addressed in the Merrall, Poulsen,  
2 Tripp, and/or Bencich depositions, and why wouldn't, if those  
3 subjects are addressed by one or more of those individuals, be  
4 sufficient for purposes of the defendants? You don't know  
5 that. There's no way you can really answer that, because the  
6 depositions haven't even been taken yet, right?

7 MR. GELBER: To answer the last question first, right.

8 However, very interesting phenomenon. BMO is still  
9 producing documents. There's a lot of stuff we don't know.  
10 There are many, many things we don't know.

11 A year and-a-half ago, BMO stood up in court before  
12 Judge Daniels and said, We have completed our document  
13 production, and then proceeded to make multiple document  
14 productions after that. They just keep coming.

15 So it's a never-ending dribble of information that  
16 forms a burden for us to put together.

17 Mr. Downe is where everything coalesces. If I depose  
18 Mr. Downe -- and I, quite frankly, think the correct order is  
19 to depose him first, principal of the highest first, and then  
20 move to the lower people, if necessary, because Mr. Downe was  
21 in the position of authorizing things. In some of his public  
22 speeches they talk about, in terms of managing risk, not taking  
23 huge positions in things. And we know from the evidence  
24 produced that BMO took the largest single position in natural  
25 gas derivatives in history after the Amerist blowup.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 THE COURT: Can you cite to me any case law in which  
2 the CEO of a company's deposition precedes before all the  
3 lower-level employees?

4 MR. GELBER: I cannot, but I can cite to you something  
5 that contradicts what Mr. Lack just said about the unique  
6 personal knowledge.

7 THE COURT: So we're skipping over the law; we're  
8 going just back to the facts?

9 MR. GELBER: No, I'm going to tell you a case that  
10 goes to that. The case is cited in my brief, and it's --

11 THE COURT: No one submitted briefs.

12 MR. GELBER: Not briefs. I apologize. I misspoke  
13 that one time in my life.

14 THE COURT: What are you referring to?

15 MR. GELBER: In my letter, the Chevron Corporation v.  
16 Steven Donziger case. And they cite to prior cases. It's not  
17 that the CEO needs to have unique personal knowledge; it's that  
18 he needs to have either personal information or unique  
19 knowledge.

20 THE COURT: I'm sorry to interrupt you, but in the  
21 Chevron case, as I remember it, the CEO deposition went forward  
22 because there was only a month of discovery left. And I  
23 believe Judge Francis suggested that it would have been more  
24 prudent to have deferred the CEO's deposition if the facts had  
25 allowed for that, the timeline had allowed for that to

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 determine whether or not it would be redundant.

2 But the facts of that particular case were rather  
3 different from this one, since discovery doesn't close till  
4 April. And I guess what I'm still not quite hearing a good  
5 answer to is why, if the five depositions Mr. Lack tells me are  
6 going to take place in November and early December, and there  
7 are clearly going to be another five-plus depositions of other  
8 BMO witnesses, why we shouldn't defer the decision as to  
9 whether Mr. Downe's deposition should takes place; and if it  
10 should -- and I'm not suggesting it shouldn't at all take place  
11 in this question -- why shouldn't we wait until January and  
12 have it take place then, after a fuller record is developed,  
13 because that strikes me as more consistent with almost every  
14 case I've read on the subject. And I don't understand what's  
15 unique about these circumstances that would warrant Mr. Downe's  
16 deposition to precede all the other deposition discovery that  
17 is logical to take place.

18 MR. GELBER: There's two points there. And -- well,  
19 three, if I may respond to them.

20 Our point about the Chevron case is not the final  
21 real-world decision, but the principle that permitted it. And  
22 the principle that was stated was that courts disfavor -- we  
23 agree with that -- requiring the depositions of senior  
24 executives unless they have personal knowledge of relevant  
25 facts or some unique knowledge that is relevant to the action.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 THE COURT: I have a declaration from Mr. Downe  
2 disclaiming any personal knowledge.

3 MR. GELBER: But, as you noted, it was very vague. As  
4 the Court noted, it was very, very vague.

5 THE COURT: It's very general.

6 MR. GELBER: It's very general. The disclaimer, we  
7 believe, is disingenuous.

8 And now I'll respond to the other part of your Honor's  
9 question.

10 The concern's about delaying or deferring Downe is  
11 twofold: One, we believe it's a far less efficient way to  
12 proceed. Mr. Lack, in his letter to the Court opposing my  
13 letter, points to somebody named Penny Somerville, who's no  
14 longer -- she's no longer at the bank, right; she's not even  
15 there anymore, who was another key person on the risk  
16 management committee after Downe. So the hoops that plaintiffs  
17 want us to jump through -- and, by the way, BMO is the  
18 plaintiff here. The hoops that the plaintiff wants us to jump  
19 through to get meaningful discovery are formidable. And if we  
20 delay --

21 THE COURT: What does that mean exactly? What hoops  
22 are formidable?

23 MR. GELBER: Well, take all these people or get the  
24 people who we no longer have control over anymore. In fact,  
25 BMO told us that they would not accept service for their former

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 employees; and yet they recite former employees in their letter  
2 opposing my letter.

3 THE COURT: Wouldn't your argument be even stronger if  
4 you come back in December and say, Judge, we took these five  
5 depositions; we're going to take five others. But we can't  
6 find these former employees; they are not receiving our  
7 subpoenas; the bank won't receive them. And that's all the  
8 more reason, Judge, why we should get Mr. Downe's deposition,  
9 because all these people that they are telling you have  
10 knowledge that aren't at the bank anymore, we can't even depose  
11 them. Wouldn't that make your argument even stronger?

12 MR. GELBER: It might be even stronger, but it doesn't  
13 even it's not very strong now.

14 The fact of the matter is this: BMO has engaged in  
15 what we believe to be dilatory tactics. And my co-counsel can  
16 address that more fully in discussing the number-of-depositions  
17 argument.

18 Our concern is that when we get to January, after  
19 deposing five people, and we now have a stronger argument,  
20 there will be more delay. We have a firm,  
21 don't-dare-come-to-me cutoff of, I believe, April 1st.

22 THE COURT: Who said that?

23 MR. GELBER: Some gentleman named Magistrate Judge  
24 Cott.

25 THE COURT: Gotcha.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300



DAUVBANC Conference

1 MR. GELBER: So because we have great respect,  
2 unbounded respect for the Court, we take that very, very  
3 seriously. And we're nervous, because up until now, BMO has  
4 controlled the game; it's controlled the narrative, it's  
5 controlled the scheduling; it's controlled the game.

6 New facts are emerging. We believe the narrative is  
7 going to shift dramatically. And we are firmly convinced that  
8 the most efficient, practical way to proceed is to take  
9 Mr. Downe first. There will be no burden to the bank. I mean  
10 I understand you get to say that, but in the real world, it's  
11 zero burden. The bank will function; nothing will collapse.

12 THE COURT: Hold on.

13 If he's deposed, whether it's next week or in January  
14 or whenever, he's going to have to prepare for the deposition,  
15 and that's going to be a big project for him. And every hour  
16 he spends sitting with lawyers preparing for the deposition is  
17 every hour he is feeling frustrated that he's not doing what he  
18 should normally be doing. So there is some burden attached to  
19 that, even if he's on his BlackBerry during the preparation  
20 dealing with bank business.

21 So let's not totally undersell the fact that there is  
22 no burden here. There is a burden. How much of a burden, you  
23 know, in the modern world we live in is probably different than  
24 20 years ago; but there's a burden, to be sure.

25 MR. GELBER: His frustration, quite frankly, means

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 zero to me. I have an extremely frustrated client. We have a  
2 record now that's rather firm, some of which documents were  
3 presented to your Honor in connection with the confidentiality  
4 efforts of BMO that show that there was a concerted effort to  
5 shift the blame in order specifically to protect Bill Downe,  
6 protect Bill Downe. We want to manipulate the press to protect  
7 Bill Downe. We're afraid that Bill Downe will be confronted  
8 with too many questions. We need to protect Bill Downe.

9 And Bill Downe authorized a \$25,000 per month payment  
10 to a very high-profile PR firm to do that. And they were still  
11 doing that in 2008, protecting Bill Downe. The memos are  
12 replete with this concern about Bill Downe. And the reason  
13 there's such concern about protecting Bill Downe is because  
14 Bill Downe is dead center in the middle of this. They are the  
15 plaintiff. They are the plaintiff. We're being sued for \$500  
16 million. My client is in jail.

17 Bill Downe has engineered a public relations campaign  
18 that's still ongoing. We believe it's a fraud on the Court,  
19 and I apologize, but that's what we believe. And he needs to  
20 sit down in a room, and answer questions about what he knew,  
21 when he knew it, why, why he ducked responsibility. He talks  
22 in his public speeches, and they are all over the web, your  
23 Honor can search them or I can hand them up to you right now,  
24 about taking responsibility, about we're changing things here  
25 at the bank; we're narrowing our focus. He's in the middle.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 That's why.

2 THE COURT: Did I understand you, Mr. Gelber, to say  
3 that the deposition would be two days of Mr. Downe? Is that  
4 what you're contemplating?

5 MR. GELBER: We would love that; we also understand  
6 we're likely to be restricted to seven hours.

7 But my client sat for 21 hours of deposition. The  
8 excuse was that there were multiple plaintiffs. Twenty-one  
9 hours, while he was undergoing the stress of losing another  
10 relative. He has one of these like Kennedy-esque tragic lives.  
11 And it would be funny if it wasn't so tragic. But in the  
12 middle of the death of a close relative, while he was in the  
13 process of being sentenced or preparing to go away, he sat for  
14 21 hours of a deposition.

15 We would like Bill Downe for 14 hours. And my  
16 colleagues can talk about the number of people being deposed  
17 and the length of time, because there are so many of us.

18 THE COURT: Let me hear from some of your colleagues,  
19 because I don't think we want to spend all morning discussing  
20 this. And at this rate, we will be spending all morning.

21 MR. GELBER: I apologize. But I truly appreciate the  
22 opportunity the Court has granted to allow me to speak.

23 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Gelber.  
24 Who else wants to be heard for the defendants?  
25 Mr. Klein.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 MR. KLEIN: Solomon Klein.

2 MR. GELBER: I apologize.

3 I've just been reminded that all defendants who have  
4 been deposed sat for 21 hours.

5 THE COURT: All right. Very well.

6 MR. KLEIN: Good morning, your Honor. Solomon Klein  
7 for Mr. Nordlicht.

8 I just wanted to add a couple of items regarding the  
9 deposition of Bill Downe and why I think it was inappropriate  
10 even to try to stop it.

11 THE COURT: It was inappropriate to try and stop it?

12 MR. KLEIN: To avoid the deposition, given his role at  
13 the very top. And I understand some of the case law.

14 If there's anything that the case law stands for, it's  
15 fundamentally that if you have a mortgage dispute with  
16 Citibank, you can't go and depose the CEO. Where the CEO has a  
17 central role during the events, we're not just talking  
18 afterwards as a collector of information, during the events --  
19 he was then COO -- he was on the committee involving market  
20 risk over several years.

21 THE COURT: Were other people on the committee who  
22 you're going to be deposing?

23 MR. KLEIN: Mr. Tripp was on the committee.

24 THE COURT: So why wouldn't what you're going to get  
25 from Mr. Tripp be sufficient for your purposes?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 MR. KLEIN: That's a very good point. Usually that  
2 would work. But let's look at the email that I cite in my  
3 letter, where Mr. Downe goes to Mr. Tripp and says, What's the  
4 chances of something blowing up here? I heard from Bob as  
5 follows. I've been hearing other things from other people.  
6 This is during the process. This is January 2007, several  
7 months before it blows up. He is the person that is gathering  
8 the information from the different people at Bank of Montreal.

9 THE COURT: Why doesn't it make more sense to take  
10 Mr. Tripp's deposition first and then take Mr. Downe's  
11 deposition?

12 MR. KLEIN: I don't have a particular problem with  
13 whether Mr. Tripp goes before Mr. Downe, because I think  
14 regardless of who gets deposed, both of them need to be  
15 deposed.

16 THE COURT: But from where I sit, because as I read  
17 the law, and as I understand the record that exists before me  
18 right now, which is not a very well-developed record with  
19 respect to parties, because you submitted letter briefs, which  
20 is all that I asked for, so I'm not blaming anybody, but we  
21 have a relatively scant record at the moment. And why wouldn't  
22 it make more sense to take the deposition of these individuals  
23 who have been identified, and perhaps others, then have a  
24 meet-and-confer, then see if you can persuade Mr. Lack and  
25 Mr. Haggerty that, Hey, when we go before Judge Cott, he made

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 pretty clear back in October that he was inclined to go forward  
2 with Mr. Downe; he just wasn't willing to grant you his  
3 deposition at the outset; he wanted to have an even more  
4 developed record made. And then they would know that the  
5 chances of them not agreeing would lead them back here and  
6 would lead them to an adverse result, because you have an even  
7 stronger hand than you have now.

8 I understand the people at your table think you have a  
9 good hand now. But it seems to me that his deposition, if it's  
10 going to go forward, would be far more justified, and you would  
11 have a far stronger argument to make about how he has unique  
12 information, it's not redundant, and all the other sorts of  
13 things that courts look at after these depositions have taken  
14 place. That's where I'm struggling.

15 I'm not really struggling that there isn't a serious  
16 likelihood that Mr. Downe's deposition should take place, but  
17 I'm focused more on timing right now. Or, to put it another  
18 way, I'm disinclined to agree with your table that his  
19 deposition should be in November; but I'm disinclined to agree  
20 with Mr. Lack that his deposition shouldn't take place. That's  
21 sort of where I am right now.

22 MR. KLEIN: I'm not particularly focused on whether or  
23 not Mr. Downe's deposition takes place in November or whether  
24 it is the fifth or sixth or eighth, for that matter. I do  
25 think there's enough on the table right now that defendants

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 collectively have presented to your Honor that he was  
2 involved -- and if he wasn't the CEO, we wouldn't even be  
3 having this conversation. He would be up there and he would  
4 show up.

5 And so the real question is Factor 2, and that is, is  
6 there justification for saying Mr. Downe is now CEO, there's a  
7 tremendous burden on the bank. And I think there's no basis  
8 for it. Mr. Gelber presented those issues. It's a modern  
9 bank; he has a day or two to give away for a case like this.

10 THE COURT: But to be clear, and not to put too fine a  
11 point on it, you all talk like it's, you know, if it's a  
12 14-hour deposition, that's really all he's giving up, and there  
13 will be breaks, and he'll be in consultation with those he  
14 would normally be in consultation with.

15 But it's actually a lot more than that; because his  
16 deposition in this case will be a seminal event, and his  
17 lawyers know it, and his lawyers will have to prepare him  
18 thoroughly for it, and because there have been millions of  
19 pages of documents produced in this case, and because there may  
20 well have been other depositions taken in advance. It's an  
21 enormous event to prepare a CEO in a case like this for a  
22 deposition. You're talking about many, many hours that will be  
23 involved in the mere preparation of the deposition, in advance  
24 of the deposition itself. That's the real world of modern 21st  
25 century litigation, right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 MR. KLEIN: I understand that.

2 But the real issue right now is is there enough right  
3 now for the Court to rule on whether or not Mr. Downe needs to  
4 show up. And I think that's the narrow question, that there's  
5 enough information right now.

6 THE COURT: And I have FBI agent notes saying one  
7 person said Mr. Downe knew something else, and 302s from FBI  
8 agents. I mean, with respect, that's not the equivalent of,  
9 Well, Mr. Tripp testified at these committee meetings this way  
10 about certain facts, but that leaves open the obvious question  
11 as to what Mr. Downe knew or said or saw or added or all those  
12 sorts of things. That would be a much stronger record than  
13 what's before me now, wouldn't it?

14 MR. KLEIN: I understand.

15 The reason we presented it now is because we're very  
16 comfortable with what's in front of your Honor. And that is  
17 that Mr. Downe is involved, was involved, was involved during  
18 the blowup, was involved for several years with the risk  
19 committee; in fact, there were documents produced yesterday, I  
20 don't know whether these are duplicates of other information,  
21 but of Mr. Downe present at market risk meetings over several  
22 years. And with him being there, and not many people being,  
23 over the years, in that same role, who got the information  
24 relating to the commodities, was there after the blowup, was  
25 there as it was happening, and was the person where the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300



DAUVBANC Conference

1 information was funneled through. I think the email, without  
2 looking at the FBI issues, if you look at the email itself, a  
3 single email where Mr. Downe is asking one person, saying, I've  
4 heard this, there's at least three people communicated with  
5 Mr. Downe on one issue in January of 2010.

6 THE COURT: Right. But to use Mr. Gelber's word, that  
7 hardly suggests Mr. Downe's involvement was granular; that  
8 suggests the opposite to me. That's all these lower-level  
9 people are reporting to him, and he's at the top of the food  
10 chain, and he has a much more macro view of the world, if you  
11 will, and not a micro view. And if that's true, at least on  
12 the present record, that hardly justifies his deposition going  
13 first.

14 MR. KLEIN: And, like I said, I think the first is not  
15 the question.

16 THE COURT: I hear Mr. Gelber telling me he wants to  
17 take his deposition tomorrow. So for Mr. Gelber, he's first;  
18 for you, it sounds like he could be sixth, you don't care, you  
19 just want it.

20 MR. KLEIN: And I think your Honor has enough  
21 information presented already. And, in fact, reading between  
22 the lines, as your Honor started today, the affidavit suggests  
23 even greater involvement than what we've presented to you  
24 already in the vagueness of how many people and how many  
25 discussions he had.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 THE COURT: So I'm supposed to infer by omission,  
2 infer from vagueness?

3 MR. KLEIN: Sometimes that's actually the most  
4 telling. And I think, given the market risk, given the  
5 discussions he had with the emails during the period, and I  
6 stress the fact that -- I understand that CEOs, when there's a  
7 crisis and a blowup of this proportion, are going to be  
8 involved at the end and are going to receive information.

9 But I think the real issue here is his involvement  
10 during the events, not just several months before, as we've  
11 shown, and over several years being involved with the market  
12 risk committee as this was developing over the years as Bank of  
13 Montreal was increasing its commodities trading, increasing its  
14 profits in commodities trading, and then running from it when  
15 it blew up.

16 So I think your Honor has enough right now to deal  
17 with this issue. And I think the timing is -- as Mr. Gelber  
18 represented, he should be first. Whether or not he should be  
19 fifth or sixth is perhaps a question that also can be decided  
20 today, but I don't see a need for his deposition. So the  
21 question to come to down the road, to be dealt with later, I  
22 think there's enough on the table right now.

23 THE COURT: Anything else you want to speak to?

24 MR. KLEIN: In terms of the number of depositions, as  
25 BMO has acknowledged, there were some hundred witnesses listed

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 information. At this point, the scope of this case is so  
2 staggering that my suggestion has been let's get depositions  
3 started. It's been very generous that Mr. Lack showed up with  
4 dates that he hadn't given me earlier, but these notices were  
5 sent in September. And this is the first we've heard on the  
6 depositions for November into December.

7 I believe that if this case is going to go forward,  
8 then we need to get rolling in November; there is no reason why  
9 we can't have five depositions in November on this issue. And  
10 then we see at the end of November where we stand.

11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Klein.

12 Anyone else at defense counsel table want to be heard  
13 on any of these issues? Seeing no one with enthusiasm or  
14 otherwise running to the podium, Mr. Lack, do you want to say  
15 anything further about what's been said?

16 MR. LACK: Nothing further, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right. Let's take a short break.

18 And actually, before we do that, let me ask, are there  
19 any other issues that are going to be raised today, so I know  
20 what they are? Why don't we hear what they are before we take  
21 a break

22 MR. MCDERMOTT: Your Honor, I have one request. I  
23 don't think it should be an issue.

24 Judge Daniels ordered that discovery in this case be  
25 coordinated, and that the actions be considered related.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 I had suspicions before today that the various parties  
2 were making submissions to the Court that were not distributed  
3 to us in the NYMEX case. Those submissions were in the BMO  
4 case. I've come today and found out that my suspicions were  
5 correct. I'm hearing of various letters to the Court, I'm  
6 hearing of other submissions which we had no notice of. I also  
7 want to mention that I learned that deposition notices were  
8 sent out in the BMO case which we never received. And I'd ask  
9 the Court today to remind the other parties to give us whatever  
10 they sent out to the others and any dispute so that if we have  
11 a voice -- or, rather, a view on the issue, we can voice it,  
12 bring it to the Court in a timely fashion. That's all.

13 THE COURT: All right, Mr. McDermott.

14 Is there any quarrel with that, Mr. Lack or  
15 Mr. Haggerty?

16 MR. LACK: I have no quarrel with giving  
17 Mr. McDermott --

18 MR. MCDERMOTT: The problem hasn't been with them.  
19 I've asked them for it, your Honor. I think there may be some  
20 oversight from BMO; I don't think it's them in particular, but  
21 it's some of the other parties.

22 THE COURT: Any reason at the back table that this  
23 poses a problem?

24 Mr. Gelber.

25 MR. GELBER: I don't know that it poses a problem. I

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 would plead guilty to not having copied Mr. McDermott on our  
2 letters relating to the deposition of Mr. Downe because my  
3 client has settled with NYMEX, my client has settled with the  
4 CFTC, and my client has settled with the SEC; so we've not been  
5 copying them.

6 THE COURT: I think in an abundance of caution, unless  
7 and until otherwise directed, everyone who is in all of the  
8 remaining cases should copy everyone else on all correspondence  
9 to the Court, on all notices of depositions, etc. It seems to  
10 me that makes a blanket rule that is easy to follow.

11 Any objection?

12 All right. So that's so ordered.

13 Yes, sir.

14 MR. CURRAN: Your Honor, Frances Curran on behalf of  
15 defendant Edward O'Connor.

16 This isn't really an issue, but in light of some  
17 possible former employees who reside in Canada, for Bank of  
18 Montreal, I wanted to alert the Court that we may be seeking  
19 letters rogatory in order to depose them. I'll, of course,  
20 confer with Mr. Haggerty and Mr. Lack with respect to the  
21 timing and form of those. But given the circumstances,  
22 especially as one of the witnesses mentioned, Ms. Somerville is  
23 no longer an employee, it may be necessary -- we'll try to  
24 avoid it as we can, but if we have to get letters rogatory, I  
25 wanted to alert the Court that that might be coming.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.  
2 Anything else anyone wants to raise?  
3 All right. Let's take a brief adjournment.  
4 (Recess)

5 THE COURT: I appreciate all the arguments of counsel  
6 and the submissions that were made to the Court.

7 The fact that Mr. Downe is the CEO of BMO and has  
8 obvious executive responsibilities does not preclude his  
9 deposition obviously. His busy schedule, which I'm sure is  
10 busy, doesn't justify on its own any. And if we have a  
11 protective order, what I ultimately have to determine is that  
12 he lacks any relevant knowledge or that his deposition would be  
13 redundant or might be tantamount to harassment. If that were  
14 true, then a protective order would be appropriate.

15 My reading of the cases suggest that it is prudent  
16 wherever possible to proceed with lower-level employee  
17 depositions first, and then make a determination as to whether  
18 the deposition of a CEO, like Mr. Downe, should proceed, as I  
19 suggested during colloquy.

20 So my ruling and my conclusion today for the reasons I  
21 suggested during the course of our discussions is that  
22 Mr. Downe's deposition will not proceed immediately. And to  
23 the extent I construed the applications by defendants as a  
24 motion to compel his deposition to proceed immediately, I'm  
25 denying that. But I'm denying it without prejudice. And I'm

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 denying it without prejudice and anticipating that the  
2 defendants are going to renew that application at a later date  
3 on the conclusion of lower-level BMO employees' depositions,  
4 when I believe they will be in an even better position to  
5 articulate the unique information that they believe Mr. Downe  
6 has to offer.

7 I think the submissions that the defendants made  
8 today, in advance of today, I should say, were not as fully  
9 developed as I would have needed to justify advancing  
10 Mr. Downe's deposition to the head of the line, so to speak.  
11 That strikes me as the extraordinary case and the extraordinary  
12 circumstances which may be borne from time constraints as it  
13 was in the Chevron case, or it might be borne of some other  
14 circumstance, although I have not read any other case, and  
15 counsel has cited none to me in which the CEO's deposition  
16 precedes those of lower-level employees.

17 That said, I would be remiss if I did not add, as I  
18 suggested during my colloquy with Mr. Lack, that the  
19 declaration Mr. Downe submitted, his October 23rd declaration,  
20 was just on the margins of meeting the burden, I think, that  
21 needed to be met as a threshold matter. It's a rather vague  
22 declaration that I think invites many questions which I know  
23 defense counsel are chomping at the bit to ask. And without  
24 more from BMO, I think, and I want to be crystal clear about  
25 this, that I am highly likely inclined to order Mr. Downe's

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 deposition at some future date.

2 Consistent with my discussion with Mr. Lack, as part  
3 of my ruling today, I am directing BMO to identify to the  
4 defendants forthwith the lower-level BMO employees and the  
5 individuals outside the bank that are referred to in Paragraph  
6 9 so that that can help inform defense counsel which, if any,  
7 of them they might wish to depose. I am not requiring that any  
8 of the attorneys be identified for obvious reasons. I don't  
9 think we need to go down that path at this time.

10 I would add as a footnote that I would direct BMO to  
11 file Mr. Downe's declaration and make it part of the record in  
12 this case, even though it was attached to correspondence that  
13 was deemed to contain confidential information and was not  
14 otherwise placed on the docket. I'm not requiring the letter  
15 itself to be placed on the docket or any of the correspondence,  
16 for that matter, necessarily be placed on the docket; I think  
17 the parties fully ventilated their arguments that they set  
18 forth in their letters to the Court at this public hearing. If  
19 anyone feels the need to put any of the correspondence on the  
20 docket, I'm happy to hear from you in that regard, but I think  
21 it's important to place Mr. Downe's declaration on the public  
22 docket because I think we're going to revisit it down the road  
23 or potentially revisit it down the road.

24 Let me add that to the extent BMO considers my ruling  
25 a victory, it may well be a pure victory. And I do think that

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300



DAUVBANC Conference

1 if BMO is not flexible about the number of depositions to be  
2 taken and the timing of those depositions, that that will  
3 ultimately ill serve the position that they wish to take with  
4 respect to discovery generally.

5 The application as to whether the defendants may take  
6 more than ten depositions, to the extent that is a formal  
7 application, I'm going to defer until a later date.

8 I've been told at this conference today that the  
9 plaintiffs are making five BMO employees available to  
10 defendants on the timeline that begins sometime in November and  
11 goes through December 16th. That timeline is inadequate from  
12 my standpoint, given the delays that have taken place as far as  
13 getting deposition discovery going forward from BMO witnesses.  
14 It's been represented to me -- and not contradicted -- that  
15 notices were issued in September. We're now almost at  
16 November. And it's almost disingenuous on the one hand for BMO  
17 to suggest that any decision with respect to Mr. Downe's  
18 deposition be deferred, and at the same time delay the  
19 depositions of other witnesses in order to give the defendants  
20 more information to determine whether they want to press  
21 forward with Mr. Downe's deposition or not. I think it's safe  
22 to say that they are going to press forward with a request for  
23 his deposition irrespective of what the discovery shows. But  
24 my sense is that I will be in a much better position, and the  
25 record will be far more developed after depositions have been

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 taken for a renewed application to be made for Mr. Downe's  
2 deposition.

3 So, to that end, the five BMO employees who have been  
4 identified on the record today, I direct that their depositions  
5 all take place in the month of November, and that the parties  
6 work that out among themselves, with the caveat that the Court  
7 is not ordering -- and, indeed, directing -- that no  
8 depositions take place on Thanksgiving Day. And I say that  
9 only because I know how hardworking and zealous everyone is in  
10 this case. But from where I sit, I want to make sure that  
11 people take time away from this lawsuit, among your other  
12 responsibilities, and do what one should be doing on  
13 Thanksgiving, which is not expressing gratitude for litigation  
14 or other such things.

15 But I do otherwise mean in all seriousness that I want  
16 the parties to work out a schedule that would allow all five of  
17 these depositions to take place in November.

18 What I am then contemplating is that the parties will  
19 have a meet-and-confer the first week of December, the week of  
20 December 2nd, and then we will have another conference on  
21 Wednesday, December 11th, unless the parties work out these  
22 open issues on their own. And what I anticipate during the  
23 week of December 2nd is these five depositions having been  
24 taken, and a list of people that Mr. Downe identified in his  
25 declaration haven't been identified, the parties will be in a

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 better position to have a discussion about what other  
2 depositions they believe need to be taken independent of  
3 Mr. Downe, and on what schedule. And I hope we'll be able to  
4 work that out.

5 And if the parties conclude that they want to take  
6 more than ten depositions, let's say 12 or 13 or something like  
7 that, that, in the first instance, I would strongly encourage  
8 counsel to work that out among themselves; because in a  
9 complicated case like this, I think I'm going to be highly  
10 inclined to grant a request, as long as the information can be  
11 identified to me, that would justify taking those additional  
12 depositions.

13 So what I would expect to happen then is at the  
14 meet-and-confer the first week of December, you're going to  
15 work out the remainder of your depositions as far as the BMO  
16 witnesses are concerned and, frankly, whatever other  
17 depositions have not yet been taken so that you all have a game  
18 plan for the rest of deposition discovery, which I anticipate  
19 will take place in December and January.

20 I anticipate that the parties are likely not to work  
21 out the deposition of Mr. Downe, because I suspect Mr. Lack and  
22 Mr. Haggerty will probably believe that what he will have to  
23 offer will be redundant and not unique. And I suspect we will  
24 be back here in December having a similar discussion again.

25 My expectation is that if I were to rule that

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 Mr. Downe's deposition were to take place, that it would take  
2 place in January. And that's well within the discovery  
3 schedule that I have set. And if I were to make that judgment  
4 on December 11th, I would expect it to be no earlier than mid  
5 January, and that would certainly give Mr. Downe and his  
6 attorneys plenty of time to make whatever accommodations were  
7 necessary to prepare for and ultimately take that deposition.  
8 I say that without prejudging the ultimate decision, because I  
9 think, as I said before, the record needs to be more fully  
10 developed. I say that without suggesting that if his  
11 deposition were to go forward, it would necessarily be longer  
12 than seven hours.

13 These are all open questions that, unless you all work  
14 it out, we will revisit on December 11th. And what I would  
15 anticipate is that the parties will make some submission to me  
16 by the end of the previous week, Friday the 6th of December, so  
17 that I know where you stand on this and any other issue, for  
18 that matter, as the December 11th conference will be a case  
19 management conference, as this one was, as well, so that if  
20 there are any other outstanding issues, we can address them at  
21 that time.

22 I think that sufficiently covers all of the issues  
23 that were raised this morning. And I will issue an order that  
24 memorializes my ruling and the dates and the schedule that I  
25 suggested on the record today. I think that will constitute my

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 ruling on the open questions at this time.

2 Mr. Gelber?

3 MR. GELBER: Two questions, both related to time.

4 The time of the December 11th conference will be 10

5 a.m.?

6 THE COURT: 10 a.m.

7 MR. GELBER: Is the Court ruling on the length of time  
8 that we'll be able to take some of these depositions for?

9 THE COURT: Which depositions are you referring to?

10 MR. GELBER: In other words, the depositions that BMO  
11 was going to produce.

12 THE COURT: You're talking about the five depositions  
13 in November and whether they be more than seven hours?

14 MR. GELBER: Correct.

15 THE COURT: I don't know that that was an issue. Is  
16 that an issue? Do you anticipate any of them being more than  
17 seven hours?

18 MR. KLEIN: It was mentioned in one of the letters,  
19 but we didn't actually submit it to your Honor, because it's  
20 hard to tell until we actually do it. I would like to at least  
21 take it without prejudice in terms of if things do not get  
22 done -- put it this way: I suspect some depositions can be  
23 completed in one day, perhaps another might not. So, for  
24 example, Tripp's deposition is likely not to be completed in  
25 one day. But I don't think coming in right now and saying each

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 one needs to be two days, I don't think each one needs to be  
2 two days, but some of them might be.

3 THE COURT: Well, can I rely on counsel to see if you  
4 can work this out in the first instance?

5 Mr. Lack, do you have any instinctive reaction that  
6 these depositions, at least some of them, might not need to be  
7 more than a day? Or let me rephrase that, because I think I  
8 used a triple negative in that last statement or last question.

9 Are you concerned about these being more than one day,  
10 given that I understand you have taken some up depositions that  
11 were more than one day?

12 MR. LACK: Well, these particular depositions I don't  
13 expect to require more than one day.

14 THE COURT: Even Mr. Tripp?

15 MR. LACK: Even Mr. Tripp.

16 THE COURT: Do you understand that you take that  
17 position at your peril in the sense that the more restrictive  
18 you are about making these witnesses available, the less  
19 inclined I'm going to be to rule in your favor with respect to  
20 Mr. Downe, because the better the argument they are going to  
21 have that the record created was somehow limited and,  
22 therefore, that would more fully justify Mr. Downe's  
23 deposition? You understand that?

24 MR. LACK: I would approach it somewhat differently,  
25 your Honor.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC

Conference

1 THE COURT: I'm sure you would.

2 MR. LACK: I would put it this way: I think that if  
3 they've taken seven hours of Mr. Tripp's deposition, and it is  
4 clear that they have not had an opportunity to fully examine  
5 him, then we will meet and confer about whether there should be  
6 an extension. I don't anticipate having a situation which we  
7 have improperly cut off the ability for them to examine  
8 lower-level witnesses. I think that we'll see how they go  
9 after seven hours. And if there's a need for more time, we  
10 will meet and confer in good faith with them about whether  
11 additional time will be provided. And if we can't agree, we  
12 can deal with that at the next conference.

13 THE COURT: Let me ask you or Mr. Haggerty how many  
14 BMO depositions that you've taken have exceeded seven hours --

15 MR. LACK: Oh, well --

16 THE COURT: -- on consent.

17 MR. LACK: BMO itself has never examined a witness for  
18 more than seven hours. But the prior witnesses, depositions of  
19 Mr. Cassidy and Mr. O'Connor, Mr. Nordlicht, those were taken  
20 in multiple cases. So that not only were they noticed in the  
21 Bank of Montreal case, they were noticed in the SEC case and  
22 the CMEG NYMEX case, as well. And for that reason, they were  
23 allotted more than seven hours.

24 The depositions that we have been discussing today  
25 have only been noticed in the Bank of Montreal case. And so

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

DAUVBANC Conference

1 that is a distinguishing feature. And Bank of Montreal has  
2 never insisted that it had the right to examine any of the  
3 defendants for more than seven hours.

4 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm going to rely on the  
5 professionalism and good graces of counsel to attempt to work  
6 this out. And if you can't, for some reason, I'm sure I'll  
7 hear about it. And if you don't make someone available for  
8 more than seven hours, you do it with the understanding that if  
9 defense counsel make an application to me and I rule in their  
10 favor, then you're going to have to bring someone back at  
11 another time. And that may be more inconvenient to them, and  
12 they may be unhappy about that; and they may rather proceed  
13 three more hours the next day and get it over with.

14 MR. LACK: I understand, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: I'm sure you do.

16 Mr. Klein.

17 MR. KLEIN: Putting this issue aside, I just wanted to  
18 raise one other issue.

19 Your Honor deferred the question on the number of  
20 depositions. I just want to make sure that we are permitted to  
21 notice more than ten depositions. This way, we don't have a  
22 delay, especially with the letters rogatory, since that can  
23 take a lot of time. So we would be noticing more, although  
24 obviously not presuming that we would necessarily get more than  
25 that.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300



DAUVBANC Conference

1 THE COURT: Given the potential of letters rogatory,  
2 it seems to me appropriate that you do so with the  
3 understanding that if you can't work out a number with counsel,  
4 you're going to have to get the Court to intervene. And then  
5 I'll obviously have to rule one way or the other on the  
6 question. But you may proceed with the ministerial acts, if  
7 you will, as needed to set that in motion.

8 Mr. McDermott?

9 MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes, your Honor.

10 The order you just delivered instructed BMO to  
11 identify specific individuals and submit that to, I think you  
12 said, the defendants.

13 THE COURT: All parties.

14 MR. MCDERMOTT: Thank you, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Anybody have anything else they want to  
16 raise today?

17 All right. Before we conclude, I'm going to excuse  
18 the court reporter.

19 \* \* \*

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

